5/16/2008

1. Watere of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review  $\mbox{area}\,;^1$ 

https://orm.usace.army.mil/orm2/f?p=106:34:505352690773451::NO::

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND IN	FORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR A	PPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 15-May-2008
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND I	NUMBER: Walla Walla District, NWW-2004-2100008-JD1
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGRO	OUND INFORMATION:
State:	ID - Idaho
County/parish/borough:	Owyhee
City:	Marsing
Lat	43.591257793207824
Long:	-116.84465678327464
Universal Transverse Mercator:	C)
Name of nearest waterbody:	Snake River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Wa	iter (TNW): Columbia River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Coo	te (HUC):
Check if map/diagram of review area a	and/or potential juńsdictional areas is/are available upon request.
$\Gamma$ Check if other sites (e.g., offsita mrtiga on a different JD form.	tion siles, disposal sites, olc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVA	LUATION:
Office Determination Date:	
F Field Delermination Dale(s): ☐ 11-A	pr-2008
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FIN	DINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION O	FJURISDICTION
There are "nevigeble waters of the U.S." with in the review area.	ithin Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329)
Waters subject to the ebb end	flow of the tide.
Waters are presently used, or lor foreign commerce.	hava been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate
Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 an	a traditional navigable water subject to regulation under Section 10 of the rid Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. River supports recreational end recreational fishing and hunting, and has several hydro power dams on the river the to interstate customers.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION	
There [] "waters of the U.S." within Clean area.	Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (es defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review

ORM Printer Friendly JD Form

Page 2 of 6

	ALGORI LEGICIA	water Type(s) Present
Titus-Site 1		TNWs, including territorial seas
o. <b>Identify</b> (e	estimate) size of waters of	the U.S. In the review area:
Area: (m²)		
.inear: (m)		
. Limits (bo	oundaries) of jurisdiction:	
esed on:	[]	
OHWM Elev	ration: (If known)	•
. Non-regul	eted waters/wetlands;3	
otentially ju	risdictional waters and/or w	etlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional
		•
ECTION	III: CWA ANALYSIS	
TNWs AN	D WETLANDS ADJACENT	TO TNWs
.TNW		
THW Name	<del> </del>	Summarize rationale supporting determination:
litus-Site	The Snake River at River & Harbors Act of 1899.	ville 420.5 is a Treditional Navigable Water subject to regulation under Section 10 of
		(THAT IS NOT A THW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): www.directly.or.lndkrectly.into YNW
) General A	rea Conditions:	
Watershed sl	()	
Orainage are		
•	ual rainfall: inches ual snowfall: inches	
ironago anni		
	Characteristics	
_	flows directly into TNW.	
	flows through [] tributaries	hefore entering TNW.
Number of t		book a many 1111.
roject water:	s are [] river miles from TM	w.
	s are [] river miles from RP	
_	s are ( ) aerial (straight) mile	
roject waters	s are [] eerial(straight) mile:	s from RPW.
Project w	aters cross or serve as state	e boundaries.
olain:		

Identify flow route to TNW:5	
Tributary Stream Order, if known: Not Applicable.	
(b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary Is: Not Applicable.	
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Not Applicable.	
Primary tributary substrate composition: Not Applicable	
Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient): Not Applicable.	
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.	
Surface Flow ls: Not Applicable.	
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.	
Tributary has: Not Applicable.	
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:	
High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.	
Meen High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.	
(III) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, ofly film; water quality;general watershed cheracteristics, atc.). Not Applicable.	
(Iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.	
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics; Properties:	
https://orm.usace.army.mil/orm2/f?p=106:34:505352690773451::NO:;	5/16/2008

$\smile$		$\smile$
Not Applicable.		
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TMW: Flow is: Not Applicable.		
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.		
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.		
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.		
(d) Proximity (Relationahlp) to TNW: Not Applicable.		
(ii) Chemical Characteristics; Characterize tributary (e.g., water color le clear, discolored characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.	, olly film; water quelity; general	wetershed
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetlend supports: Not Applicable.		
Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributery ( All watlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.	ff any):	
Summarize oversit blological, chemical and physical function Not Applicable.	ons being performed:	
C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION		
A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow character functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tribut physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, he the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW, include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and fre proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tril to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Sim of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus	ary to determine if they significal following situations, a significal ras more than a speculative or in . Considerations when evaluating quency of the flow of water in thoutary and all its adjacent wetter, it threshold of distance (e.g. betwillarly, the fact an adjacent wetter literly, the fact an adjacent wetter	infly affect the chemical, in nexus exists if the isubstantial effect on g significant nexus e tributary and its ida. It is not appropriate ween a tributary and its
Significant Nexus: Not Applicable		
D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL I WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:	INDINGS. THE SUBJEC	T
1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:		
		,
https://orm.usace.army.mil/orm2/f?p=106:34:505352	590773451::NO::	5/16/200

Wotland Name	. Type	Size (Linear) (m)	į.	5:28 [
Titus-Site 1	TNWs, including territorial seas	6.096	٠.	
Total:		6.096	0	

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly Into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or Indirectly Into TNWs:8 Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review eres: Not Applicable.

4. We lands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlande adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide ecreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

Impoundments of jurisdictional watere:<sup>9</sup>
 Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATEO WETLANDS, THE USE, OEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:  $^{10}$  Not Applicable.

Identify water body and summarise rationals supporting determination: Not Applicable.

Provide eatimates for jurisdictional waters in the review eres: Not Applicable.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS

https://orm.usace.army.mil/orm2/f?p=106:34:505352690773451::NO::

5/16/2008

☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jen 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based so on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Cother (Explain):
Provide acreage againstes for non-jurisdictional watere in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birde, presence of endangered species, use of water firingsted agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable.
Provide acreege estimates for non-juriedictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nex standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.  Not Applicable.
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below): Not Applicable.
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Not Applicable.
1-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
<sup>2</sup> -For purposes of this form, an RFW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW end that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least ¿seasonally¿ (e.g., typically 3 months).
3-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
4-Note that the instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
5-Flow roule can be described by identifying, e.g., tributery a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b which then flows into TNW.
6-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarity sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the atream temporerity flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). When there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody, s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. 7-Ibid.
B =

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>-See FooInote #3.

<sup>9</sup>-To complete the enalysis refer to the key in Section III.D.8 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category. Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.